

CANCER CARE PATHWAYS: Does Combining Clinical Pathways with Patient Navigation Improve Disease Treatment Metrics in a Disadvantaged Patient Population?

Morgan Wilson¹, Scott E. Delacroix Jr³, Eileen Mederos⁴, Maureen Vincent⁵, John Estrada⁴, Augusto Ochoa⁴, Guy Orangio², Mary Louise Leguyader⁵, Srinivasu Yerneni⁵

Dillard University¹, Department of Surgery², Department of Urology³, Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center⁴, Department of Medicine⁵



Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States with 143,000 new cases and 51,000 estimated deaths in 2012 [1]. The benefits of early detection are reflected in a 90% 5-year survival rate for early stage cancers [1]. CRC screening offers the best chance to reduce mortality, although this cancer can still be diagnosed after the onset of Early diagnosis based on symptoms. symptoms may be difficult as delays can occur at various points in the process.

Understanding why diagnostic delay (DD) occurs is the first step to reducing it. Among the factors that have been associated with DD in CRC patients are presence of nonspecific symptoms, rural residence, patients education and non-recognition or denial of symptoms [2]. Ethnicity and socioeconomic status are additional factors that are proven to play a major role in health care disparities [3].

Description

waiting list,

booked or planned

Data is missing

Detected via bowel screening programs

Urgent referral from GP with the suspicion of cancer

Elective or urgent referral without the suspicion of cancer

Where no earlier admission can be found before admission from a

referral, consultant to consultant, other or unknown referral.

An elective route starting with an outpatient appointment: either self-

An emergency route via ER, emergency GP Referral, emergency transfer

Diagnosis

Route

Screen-

Detected

GP Cancer

Emergency

GP Referral

Inpatient

Outpatient

Unknown

Elective

Other

referral

Technique

It is imperative to understand what factors contribute to disparities in CRC detection. The goal of our project is to study DD in CRC patients treated at a tertiary care academic safety-net hospital and to investigate the possible factors that may contribute to DD in this patient population.

- Scope- There is an urgency to treat colorectal cancer (CRC) patients who associate themselves with diagnostic delays (DD). Correlating factors are: presence of non-specific symptoms, rural residence, patient's education and non-recognition or denial of symptoms. DD in CRC has been significantly and negatively associated with outcomes and certain cancer disparities.
- Purpose- The benefits of early detection are reflected in a 90% 5-year survival rate for early stage cancers. Therefore, imperative understand factors what contributing to delays and disparities in CRC detection.

Methods

Assess barriers

- Social status
- Transportation

- Economic status

Patient assessment — Pre-Navigator Pathway

Diagnostics Treatment

Patient assessment Post-Navigator Pathway

Results

The project based on Cancer Care and "Delayed Diagnosis" is currently a work-in-progress. The Cancer Care Pathway designed by the LSU Health Science Center's Department of Urologic Oncology shows promise as a highly effective strategy to improve diagnostic resolution follow-up among the ethnic minority population with abnormal CRC screenings.

Conclusions

Diagnostic delay (DD) is an important factor to consider regarding cancer In general, substantial disparities. barriers to early detection and diagnosis include lower rates of screening by minorities [4] and access issues due to lack of health insurance [5,6]. Cultural differences and level of education are contributing factors to diagnostic delay and cancer disparity, specifically in the African American population [7,8]. Moreover CRC in African Americans may have different phenotype, age distribution and exposure to risk factors compared to whites [9,10].

Pre-Pathway CRC Patients

Cancer Location	Date of Diagnosis	Surgery Date	Colonoscopy Complete (Yes/No)	Timeframe
Anus Nos	12/18/2011	12/27/2011	12/27/2011	9 d
Colon Cecum	10/20/2011	11/4/2011	11/4/2011	14 d
Anus Nos	3/6/2012	9/20/2011	9/20/2011	6 mo, 14d
Anus Nos	10/4/2011	10/4/2011	10/4/2011	Same day
Appendix	7/13/2011	7/19/2011	7/19/2011	6d
Anus Nos	4/23/2012	12/12/2012	12/2/2012	7 mo, 19d
Colon Cecum	9/3/2009	Feb. 2011	Feb. 2011	~2y, 5 mo
Asc. Colon	5/4/2011	6/3/2011	5/4/2011	1 mo
Asc. Colon	11/16/2010	11/24/2010	11/16/2010	8d
Anus Nos	1/25/2012	6/20/2012	6/20/2012	4 mo, 26d
Anus Nos	4/9/2012	4/9/2012	Never done	Never done
Colon Cecum	8/9/2010	8/9/2010	8/9/2010	Same day
Asc. Colon	2/23/2011	3/15/2011	3/15/2011	22d
Anal Canal	12/14/2010	5/9/2011	5/9/2011	4 mo, 26d
Anus Nos	11/21/2011	11/21/2011	11/21/2011	Same day
Appendix	9/11/2010	9/11/2010	9/11/2010	Same day
Colon Cecum	3/23/2012	3/23/2012	3/23/2012	Same day
Anal Canal	2/19/2009	2/19/2009	2/19/2009	Same day
Anus Nos	9/13/2011	1/30/2012	1/30/2012	4 mo,17d
Colon Cecum	11/19/2010	11/19/2010	Jan. 2010	~2 mo
Colon Cecum	5/4/2010	7/1/2010	5/14/2010	1 mo, 28d
Asc. Colon	9/25/2012	10/9/2012	9/25/2012	14d
Anus Nos	11/13/2010	11/13/2010	11/13/2010	Same day
Anus Nos	8/1/2012	8/1/2012	8/1/2012	Same day
Anus Nos	8/16/2011	1/27/2012	1/27/2012	4 mo, 11d
Anus Nos	5/9/2012	5/23/2012	5/23/2012	14d
Asc. Colon	12/27/2012	12/27/2012	12/27/2012	Same day
Anus Nos	10/10/2010	10/11/2012	10/11/2012	1d

Table 2. CRC patient assessment pre-Navigator Pathway

Colon/Rectal/Anal Pathway

Cancer Location	Date of Diagnosis	Surgery Date	Colonoscopy Complete (Yes/No)	Timeframe
Anal	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknow
Colon	4/8/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	150
Colon	4/22/3013	4/25/2013	4/25/2013	3 (
Rectal	4/10/2013	5/17/2013	5/17/2013	1 mo, 7
Colon	5/6/2013	6/18/2013	6/18/2013	1 mo, 12
Colon	5/13/2013	5/17/2013	5/17/2013	4
Colon	6/25/2013	6/25/2013	6/25/2013	Same da
Colon	3/26/2013	3/26/2013	3/26/2013	Same da
Colon	5/30/2013	6/17/2013	6/17/2013	186
Colon	5/7/2013	5/7/2013	5/7/2013	Same da
Colon	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	Same da
Colon	6/17/2013	6/24/2013	6/24/2013	70
Rectal	5/8/2013	5/8/2013	5/8/2013	Same da
Rectal	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknow

Table 3. CRC patient assessment post-Navigator Pathway

References

1) Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012;62(1):10-29.

2) Mitchell E, Macdonald S, Campbell NC, Weller D, Macleod U. Influences on pre-hospital delay in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer: a systematic review. Br J Cancer. 2008 Jan 15;98(1):60-70.

3) Dimou A, Syrigos KN, Saif MW. Disparities in colorectal cancer in African-Americans vs Whites: before and after diagnosis. World J Gastroenterol. 2009 Aug 14;15(30):3734-43.

4) Bigby J, Perez-Stable EJ. The challenges of understanding and eliminating racial and ethnic disparities in health. J Gen Intern Med. 2004; 19:201–203

5) Siegel S, Moy E, Burstin H. Assessing the nation's progress toward the elimination of disparities in health care: The National Healthcare Disparities Report. J Gen Intern Med. 2004; 19:195–200.

6) Halpern MT, Pavluck AL, Ko CY, Ward EM Factors associated with colon cancer stage at diagnosis. Halpern Dig Dis Sci. 2009;54(12):2680-93.

7) Baldwin L, Dobie S, Billingsley K, et al. Explaining black white difference in receipt of recommended colon cancer treatment. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005; 97:1210–20. 8) Partridge E, Fouad M. Community-driven approaches for reducing health disparities in cancer. JAMA. 2010 Mar

17;303(11):1090-1 9) Tsai CJ, Giovannucci EL Hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, vitamin D, and colorectal cancer among whites and African Americans.. Dig Dis Sci. 2012 Oct;57(10):2497-503.

10) Alexander DD, Waterbor J, Hughes T, Funkhouser E, Grizzle W, Manne U African-American and Caucasian disparities in colorectal cancer mortality and survival by data source: An epidemiologic review Cancer Biomark. 2007; 3(6): 301–313.

Acknowledgements

Dillard University LSU Health Sciences Center Dr. John Estrada Dr. Scott Delacroix

Dr. Guy Orangio Samantha Euraque

Josh Lovell