

Utilizing a Drug-Eluting Stent for an Iatrogenic Coronary Artery Dissection: Case Report

John Macaulay¹, Kristen Williams, MD², Humza Malik, MD², Mason Calico¹, Usman Chaudhry, MD³, Archit Shukla, MD³, Najy Masri, MD²

¹LSUHSC New Orleans, School of Medicine, ²LSUHSC New Orleans, Internal Medicine, ³LSUHSC New Orleans, Emergency Medicine



Introduction

Coronary artery dissection is a rare but significant non-atherosclerotic cause of acute coronary syndrome, with the potential to progress to fatal vessel occlusion. Dissections can occur spontaneously or iatrogenically, typically following a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), where disruption of the coronary intima creates a false lumen between the vessel wall layers. Due to its rarity, there is ongoing debate regarding the optimal treatment strategies.

Case Presentation

A 66-year-old female with a history of coronary artery disease, status post drug-eluting stents placed in the left anterior descending (LAD) and left circumflex (LCX) arteries, presented one month post-procedure with acute-onset dyspnea and angina. Laboratory evaluation revealed elevated troponin (1.966 ng/mL) and brain natriuretic peptide (1,400 pg/mL); however, the ECG showed no acute ischemic changes. The patient was started on dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), metoprolol succinate, and losartan, and was promptly taken for coronary angiography.

Cardiac catheterization revealed a significant 15mm edge dissection at the site of the previously placed ostial circumflex stent, extending into the media of the proximal LCX. An everolimus-eluting stent was successfully placed to cover the edge dissection.

Discussion

Remote coronary artery dissections post-stenting, although rare, have been documented in the medical literature. Case reports, such as one by Dogan et al., illustrate that in-stent dissections can occur even years after stent implantation and may be successfully managed with coronary re-stenting. The American Heart Association has recognized the risk of dissection extension following PCI for spontaneous coronary artery dissection, noting the potential for propagation both upstream and downstream within the stented vessel. As a result, conservative therapy is typically preferred, with revascularization reserved for high-risk cases characterized by ongoing ischemia, significant vessel occlusion, or hemodynamic instability.

However, Madhavan et al. highlighted that very-late stent-related major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) can occur between one and five years post-PCI, underscoring the need for long-term vigilance in patients with prior stent placement. In this reported case, the dissection was likely iatrogenic, secondary to the previously placed stent. Given the patient's acute symptoms and significant troponin elevation despite medical management, PCI was pursued due to concerns for further dissection propagation and acute vessel closure.

Conclusions

This case contributes to the growing body of evidence on the delayed complications of coronary stenting, such as coronary artery dissection, as seen in our case. The timing of these complications can vary, with dissections occurring anywhere from months to years after stent placement, reinforcing the need for ongoing clinical surveillance and timely intervention when late adverse events arise.

References

1. Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S, Bates ER, Beckie TM, Bischoff JM, et al. 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Coronary Artery Revascularization: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2022 Jan 18;79(2):e21-e129.
2. Tweet MS, Eleid MF, Best PJM, Lennon RJ, Lerman A, Rihal CS, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: revascularization versus conservative therapy. *Circulation. Cardiovascular Interventions.* 2014;7:777-786.
3. Bocchino PP, Angelini F, Franchin L, D'Ascenzo F, Fortuni F, De Filippo O, et al. Invasive versus conservative management in spontaneous coronary artery dissection: A meta-analysis and meta-regression study. *Hellenic Journal of Cardiology.* 2021;62:297-303.
4. Lettieri C, Zavalloni D, Rossini R, Morici N, Ettori F, Leonzi O, et al. Management and Long-Term Prognosis of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. *The American Journal of Cardiology.* 2015;116:66-73.
5. Feldbaum E, Thompson EW, Cook TS, Sanghavi M, Wilensky RL, Fiorilli PN, et al. Management of spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Trends over time. *Vascular Medicine.* 2023;28:131-138.
6. Würdinger M, Schweiger V, Gilhofer T, Cammann VL, Badorf A, Koleva I, et al. Twenty-five-year trends in incidence, angiographic appearance, and management of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *International Journal of Cardiology.* 2024;395:131429.
7. Dogan A, Turker Y, Ozaydin M, Acar G, Kahraman H. Late in-stent coronary dissection in an elderly woman: An interesting case report. *International Journal of Cardiology.* 2008;125(2):e25-e26.
8. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Current state of the science: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137(19):e523-e557.
9. Cheng CI, Wu CJ, Hsieh YK, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention for iatrogenic left main coronary artery dissection. *Int J Cardiol.* 2008;126(2):177-182.
10. Ramasamy A, Bajaj R, Jones DA, Amersey R, Mathur A, Baumbach A, Bourantas CV, O'Mahony C. Iatrogenic catheter-induced ostial coronary artery dissections: Prevalence, management, and mortality from a cohort of 55,968 patients over 10 years. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2021 Oct;98(4):649-655.
11. Madhavan MV, Kirtane AJ, Redfors B, et al. Stent-related adverse events >1 year after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology.* 2020;75(6):590-604.